24 May 1950

MEMORANDUM FOR: Assistant Director for Operations

THRU: Acting Executive

SUBJECT: Exploitation of Anders Collection at Stanford

University

HEFERENCE: AD/00 Memo dated 10 May 1950, with enclosures

COAPS thinks the exploitation of this collection of documents should be handled by 00 in conjunction with the NSCID 7 Committee (State, Navy, and Air have already expressed interest) and recommends that it go to the Projects Review Committee in two stages:

1. A Short-term Trial Run to ascertain benefits which may be derived: i.e., it is assumed Stanford would loan microfilms for review here by ORE of that which has already been microfilmed. Maybe ORE can get more details by talking to Mr. Arlot who is understood to be in Washington. If these two steps should be inadequate, maybe an analyst from ONE and a linguist from FDD could go out to Stanford for a more or less quick inspection of selected documents shown in your Enclosure A and paragraph 4 of the ORE memorandum of April 19th (Enclosure G).

If it is found to be really worthwhile, CIA could then assemble and brief a team of experts in the Polish language to give it a more lang-range full treatment or at least a more detailed one at Stanford. The Custodian of the Collection and Mr. Arlet could probably offer some good suggestions in this regard.

/s/

PRESCOTT CHILDS, Chief Coordination, Operations and Policy Staff



24 May 1950

MEMORANDUM FOR: Assistant Director for Operations

THRU: Acting Executive

SUBJECT:

Exploitation of Anders Collection at Stanford

University

REFERENCE:

AD/00 Memo dated 10 May 1950, with enclosures

COAPS thinks the exploitation of this collection of documents should be handled by 00 in conjunction with the MSCID 7 Committee (State, Navy, and Air have already expressed interest) and recommends that it go to the Projects Review Committee in two stages:

- A Short-term Trial Run to ascertain benefits which may be derived: i.e., it is assumed Stanford would loan microfilms for review here by ORE of that which has already been microfilmed. Maybe ORE can get more details by talking to who is understood to be in Washington. If these two steps should be inadequate, maybe an analyst from ORE and a linguist from FDD could go out to Stanford for a more or less quick inspection of selected documents shown in your Enclosure A and paragraph 4 of the ORE memorandum of April 19th (Enclosure G).
- 2. If it is found to be really worthwhile, CIA could then assemble and brief a team of experts in the Polish language to give it a more long-range full treatment or at least a more detailed one at Stanford. The Custodian of the Collection and Mr. Arlet could probably offer some good suggestions in this regard.

PRESCOTT CHILDS, Chief Coordination, Operations and Policy Staff

25673

50X1

	(SENDER WILL	00706R000100230015 CIRCLE CLASSIFICATION TO	OP AND BOTTOM	SECRE)
		FFICIAL ROUTING		
TO			INITIALS	DATE
	Acting Chies	f. FDD		
2	·	:		
3				
4				
5				1.1
FROM			INITIALS	DATE
1	Asst. Direct	tor for Operations	550	29 May
2	·	,		
3	V 200 1 into the			,
	APPROVAL	INFORMATION		SIGNATUR
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY		RETURN
	COMMENT	PREPARATION OF	REPLY	DISPATCH
	CONCURRENCE	RECOMMENDATION	N	FILE
REM	IARKS:			
Tidy	ject referred well) and 00/	you coordinate the to in paragraph 1 C after joint ORE/	with ORE FDD/00/C d	(Mr. iscussi
			~	5673

2013/12/11 : CIA-RDP81-00706R000100230015-0